

ON BANACH SPACES WHOSE DUALS ARE ISOMORPHIC TO $l_1(\Gamma)$

DANIEL M. PELLEGRINO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present new characterizations of Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$, extending results of Stegall, Lewis-Stegall and Cilia-D'Anna-Gutiérrez.

1. INTRODUCTION, NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$ were investigated in the works of Stegall [8], Lewis-Stegall [4] and, in a recent paper, Cilia-D'Anna-Gutiérrez [2] studied polynomial characterizations of such spaces. Our aim is to show that polynomial characterizations of Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$ are extremely much more common than it is known, and many of these statements are consequences of simple results concerning polynomial ideals. Our techniques also give alternative non-tensorial proofs for the results of [2].

Throughout this paper $E, E_1, \dots, E_n, F, G$, will stand for (real or complex) Banach spaces, B_E will denote the closed unit ball on E and $\mathbb{N}$ will denote the set of the natural numbers.

The space of all continuous n -homogeneous polynomials from E into F endowed with the sup norm is represented by $\mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$ and the space of all continuous n -linear mappings from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F (with the sup norm) is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$. When $E_1 = \dots = E_n = E$, we write $\mathcal{L}(^n E; F)$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$, we use the symbol $\check{P}$ for the (unique) symmetric n -linear mapping associated to P . On the other hand, if $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E; F)$ we write $\hat{T}(x) = T(x, \dots, x)$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\Psi_i^{(n)} : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_i; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, \overset{[i]}{\cdot}, \dots, E_n; F))$ will represent the canonical isometric isomorphism given by

$$\Psi_i^{(n)}(T)(x_i)(x_1 \dots \overset{[i]}{\cdot} x_n) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where the notation $\overset{[i]}{\cdot}$ means that the i -th coordinate is not involved.

An ideal of (homogeneous) polynomials $\mathfrak{P}$ is a subclass of the class of all continuous homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces such that for all index n and all E and F , the components $\mathfrak{P}(^n E; F) = \mathcal{P}(^n E; F) \cap \mathfrak{P}$ satisfy:

(i) $\mathfrak{P}(^n E; F)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$ which contains the polynomials of finite type.

(ii) If $P \in \mathfrak{P}(^n E; F)$, $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G; E)$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$, then $T_2 P T_1 \in \mathfrak{P}(^n G; H)$.

In this note we will be concerned with two special methods of creating ideals of polynomials: factorization and linearization.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46G25; Secondary: 46B60.

This work is partially supported by Instituto do Milênio, IMPA.

- (Factorization method) If $\mathfrak{I}$ is an operator ideal, a continuous n -homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$ is of type $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{I})}$ if there exists a Banach space G , a linear operator $T \in \mathfrak{I}(E; G)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^n G; F)$ such that $P = QT$.
- (Linearization method) If $\mathfrak{I}$ is an operator ideal, $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is of type $[\mathfrak{I}]$ if $\Psi_i^{(n)}(T) \in \mathfrak{I}(E_i; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n))$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. We say that $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$ is of type $\mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}]}$ if $\check{P}$ is of type $[\mathfrak{I}]$.

An n -homogeneous polynomial is said to be p -dominated if there exist $C \geq 0$ and a regular probability measure μ on the Borel σ -algebra on $B_{E'}$ (with the weak star topology) such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|P(x)\| \leq C \left[\int_{B_{E'}} |\varphi(x)|^p d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{n}{p}}.$$

We write $\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^n E; F)$ to denote the space of p -dominated n -homogeneous polynomials from E into F . For $n = 1$ we obtain the p -absolutely summing operator. We represent the space of all absolutely p -summing operators from E into F by $\mathcal{L}_{as,p}(E; F)$. It is well known that $\mathcal{P}_{d,p}(^n E; F) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}(as,p)}(^n E; F)$. For references on p -dominated polynomials we mention ([1],[5],[7], among others). For details concerning polynomials on Banach spaces we mention [3].

2. RESULTS

We shall start with some useful Lemmas:

Lemma 1. *If $\mathfrak{I}_1$ and $\mathfrak{I}_2$ are ideals of polynomials, and*

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{I}_1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{I}_2}(E; F) \text{ for every } F$$

then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}_1]}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}_2]}(^m E; F) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}_1]}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[\mathfrak{I}_2]}(^m E; F) \text{ for every } F.$$

Proof. If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}_1]}(^m E; F)$, then $P = Qu$, with $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^m G; F)$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{I}_1}(E; G)$. From (2.1), we have $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{I}_2}(E; G)$ and thus $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathfrak{I}_2]}(^m E; F)$. The other case is similar. $\square$

Lemma 2. *If $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are ideals of polynomials and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(^n E; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(^n E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^n E; F)$ for some natural n , suppose that the following hold true:*

- (i) $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^n E; F) \Rightarrow \check{P}(., a, \dots, a) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F)$ for every $a \in E$, fixed.
- (ii) For $j = 0, 1$ and $m < n$, if $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_j}(^m E; F)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E; \mathbb{K})$, then $P \cdot \varphi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_j}(^{m+1} E; F)$.

Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F)$.

Proof. If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(E; F)$, then define $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E; \mathbb{K})$, $\varphi \neq 0$ and $a \in E$ such that $\varphi(a) = 1$ and consider the following n -homogeneous polynomial:

$$P(x) = T(x)\varphi(x)^{n-1}.$$

By applying (ii), $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(^n E; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(^n E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^n E; F)$. Finally (i) yields that $\check{P}(., a, \dots, a) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F)$ and thus

$$\frac{1}{n}T + \frac{n-1}{n}T(a)\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F).$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; \mathbb{K})$, we conclude that $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F)$. $\square$

Lemma 3. *If $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}_0]}(^n E; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}_1]}(^n E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^n E; F)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^n E; F)$ satisfies the hypothesis (i) of Lemma 2, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F).$$

Proof. If $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_0}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_1}(E; F)$, choosing a continuous (non null) linear functional φ on F , define an n -homogeneous polynomial $P : E \rightarrow F$ by $P(x) = T(x)\varphi^{n-1}(T(x))$. Then $P = Q \circ T$, where $Q : F \rightarrow F$ is given by $Q(y) = y\varphi^{n-1}(y)$. Thus $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}_0]}(^n E; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{I}_1]}(^n E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^n E; F)$ and since

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(^n E; F)$ satisfies the hypothesis (i) of Lemma 2, we have that $\check{P}(\cdot, a, \dots, a) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F)$ (for $a \in E$ so that $\varphi(a) \neq 0$) and hence $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}_2}(E; F)$. $\square$

Let us recall the concepts of compact and nuclear polynomials. A polynomial $P : E \rightarrow F$ is said to be compact if $P(B_E)$ is relatively compact in F . The space of all compact m -homogeneous polynomials from E into F will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}_K(^m E; F)$. For the compact operators from E into F we use the symbol $\mathcal{L}_K(E; F)$. We say that $P \in \mathcal{P}(^m E; F)$ is nuclear if it is possible to find $(\varphi_i) \subset E'$ and $(y_i) \subset F$ so that

$$Px = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_i(x)]^m y_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_i\|^m \|y_i\| < \infty.$$

The space of all nuclear m -homogeneous polynomials from E into F is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_N(^m E; F)$. For the linear case we write $\mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$. The relation between nuclear, compact operators (polynomials), and Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$ is given by the following results:

Theorem 1. (Lewis-Stegall [4]/Stegall [8]) *Given a Banach space E , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) E' is isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$ for some Γ .
- (ii) For every Banach space F , we have $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$.
- (iii) For every Banach space F , $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_K(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$.

Theorem 2. (Cilia-D'Anna-Gutiérrez [2]) *Given a Banach space E , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) E' is isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$ for some Γ .
- (ii) For all natural m and every Banach space F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^m E; F)$.
- (iii) There is a natural m such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^m E; F)$.
- (iv) There is a natural m such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_K(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^m E; F)$.

Our results will show that it is possible to show a considerably longer list of characterizations of Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$ and present different proofs for each assertion of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Given a Banach space E , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) E' is isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$ for some Γ .
- (ii) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[N]}(^m E; F)$.
- (iii) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[N]}(^m E; F)$.
- (iv) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^m E; F)$.
- (v) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^m E; F)$.
- (vi) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^m E; F)$.
- (vii) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^m E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^m E; F)$.

- (viii) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$.
- (ix) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$.
- (x) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_K(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^mE; F)$.
- (xi) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_K(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^mE; F)$.
- (xii) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{[K]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$.
- (xiii) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{[K]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$.
- (xiv) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{[K]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[N]}(^mE; F)$.
- (xv) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every F , we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{[K]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[N]}(^mE; F)$.
- (xvi) For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{[K]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$.
- (xvii) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every F we have $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^mE; F) \cap \mathcal{P}_{[K]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$.

Proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is consequence of the Theorem of Lewis-Stegall and Lemma 1. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious.

A direct computation gives $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[N]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_N(^mE; F)$ and hence it is easy to see that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). It is not hard to check that the ideals of nuclear polynomials and dominated polynomials satisfy (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2, respectively (these facts will be used several times in the present proof). Hence (v) implies $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$ and consequently we obtain (i).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) holds because $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[N]}(^mE; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$ (it is true for arbitrary ideals of polynomials). (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) is obvious.

In order to prove (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) it suffices to show that (vii) implies $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$. So, in order to apply Lemma 2 we must show that whenever $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$ we have $\check{P}(., a, \dots, a) \in \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$. In fact, if $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$, we can find $(\varphi_i) \subset E'$ and $(y_i) \subset \mathcal{L}(^{m-1}E; F)$ so that

$$\Psi_1^{(m)}(\check{P})(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_i(x)] y_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_i\| \|y_i\| < \infty.$$

Thus

$$\check{P}(x, a, \dots, a) = \Psi_1^{(m)}(\check{P})(x)(a, \dots, a) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_i(x)] y_i(a, \dots, a)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_i\| \|y_i(a, \dots, a)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_i\| \|y_i\| \|a\|^{m-1} < \infty.$$

Hence $\mathcal{P}_{[N]}(^mE; F)$ satisfy (i) of Lemma 2.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (viii) is due to the result of Lewis-Stegall and Lemma 1. (viii) $\Rightarrow$ (ix) is obvious. For the proof of (ix) $\Rightarrow$ (i) one may realize that a standard use of Ky Fan's Lemma yields that a continuous (symmetric) multilinear mapping $T : E \times \dots \times E \rightarrow F$ is of type $[as, p]$ if, and only if, there exist $C \geq 0$ and a regular probability measure

$\mu \in P(B_{E'}),$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq C \|x_1\| \dots \|x_{n-1}\| \left[\int_{B_{E'}} |\varphi(x_n)|^p d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and thus $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}({}^m E; F)$ satisfy (ii) of Lemma 2 and we conclude that (ix) implies $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$. Thus, the result of Lewis-Stegall completes the proof.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (x) $\Rightarrow$ (xi) is trivial. Since the ideal of compact polynomials also satisfies (ii) of Lemma 2, (xi) implies $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_K(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$ for every F and thus we obtain (i).

In order to prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (xii) we observe that (i) implies $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_K(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$ for every F and thus Lemma 1 asserts that (xii) holds.

(xii) $\Rightarrow$ (xiii) is obvious.

In order to prove (xiii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) we must show that $\mathcal{P}_{[K]}({}^m E; F)$ satisfy (ii) of Lemma 2. If $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[K]}({}^m E; F)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$, we shall firstly prove that R defined by $R(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) = \frac{1}{m+1} \varphi(x_1) \check{P}(x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}) \dots + \frac{1}{m+1} \varphi(x_{m+1}) \check{P}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is of type $[K]$. In fact, since

$$\Psi_1^{(m+1)}(R)(x) = \frac{1}{m+1} \varphi(x) \cdot \check{P} + \frac{m}{m+1} \varphi \cdot \Psi_1^{(m)}(\check{P})(x),$$

and since φ and $\Psi_1^{(m)}(\check{P})$ are compact mappings, we conclude that $\Psi_1^{(m+1)}(R)$ is compact. Thus R is of type $[K]$ and hence $\varphi \cdot P \in \mathcal{P}_{[K]}({}^{m+1} E; F)$. So, $\mathcal{P}_{[K]}({}^m E; F)$ satisfy (ii) of Lemma 2 and we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_K(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$ and obtain (i).

Since the ideal of compact operators is closed, injective and surjective, we have that $\mathcal{P}_{[K]} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[K]}$ and this fact will be used in each one of the next arguments. For the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (xiv) note that (i) implies $\mathcal{L}_{as,1}(E; F) \cap \mathcal{L}_K(E; F) \subset \mathcal{L}_N(E; F)$ and Lemma 1 furnishes the proof. The proof that (xiv) implies (xv) is immediate. Since $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}[N]}(E; F) \subset \mathcal{P}_{[N]}(E; F)$ we obtain (xv) $\Rightarrow$ (xvi). Finally, (xvi) $\Rightarrow$ (xvii) is trivial and (xvii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obtained by invoking Lemma 3. $\square$

It is worth remarking, for example, that $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}({}^n E; F)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}({}^n E; F)$ are different spaces, in general, showing that our results are different from the previous characterizations given in Theorems 1 and 2. The following example was suggested by Prof. M. C. Matos.

Example 1. Define $P : l_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^\alpha} x_j^2$ with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$.

Then $P \in \mathcal{P}_{[as,1]}({}^2 l_2; \mathbb{K})$ and $P \notin \mathcal{P}_{d,1}({}^2 l_2; \mathbb{K})$.

In fact, $\check{P} : l_2 \times l_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is given by $\check{P}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^\alpha} x_j y_j$ and $(\frac{1}{j^\alpha})_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l_2$.

It suffices to show that $\check{P}$ fails to be 1-dominated, and $\Psi_1^{(2)}(\check{P}) \in \mathcal{L}_{as,1}(l_2; l_2)$. Since

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \check{P}(e_j, e_j) \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{j^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \geq \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{m^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \right) \right]^2 = m^{2-\alpha},$$

if we had

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \check{P}(e_j, e_j) \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \leq C \left\| (e_j)_{j=1}^m \right\|_{w,1}^2,$$

we would obtain $m^{2-\alpha} \leq C(m^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 = Cm$ and it is a contradiction since $\alpha < 1$.

In order to prove that $\Psi_1^{(2)}(\check{P}) \in \mathcal{L}_{as,1}(l_2; l_2)$, observe that

$$\Psi_1^{(2)}(\check{P})((x_j)_{j=1}^\infty) = \left(\frac{1}{j^\alpha} x_j \right)_{j=1}^\infty.$$

Now, a characterization of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, due to Pełczyński (see [6]) asserts that it suffices to show that $\Psi_1(\check{P})$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. But is is easy to check, since $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left\| \Psi_1^{(2)}(\check{P})(e_k) \right\|_{l_2}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left[\frac{1}{k^\alpha} \right]^2 < \infty$.

The author wishes to acknowledge Professors M. C. Matos, G. Botelho and E. Çaliskan for important advice.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Botelho, Cotype and absolutely summing multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Vol 97A, No **2** (1997), 145-153.
- [2] R. Cilia, M. D'Anna and J. Gutiérrez, Polynomials on Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$, preprint.
- [3] S. Dineen, *Complex analysis on infinite dimensional spaces*, Springer, London, 1999.
- [4] D. R. Lewis and C. Stegall, Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$, J. Funct. Anal. **12** (1973), 177-187.
- [5] M. C. Matos, Absolutely summing holomorphic mappings, An. Acad. Bras. Ci., **68** (1996) 1-13.
- [6] A. Pełczyński, A characterization of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, Studia Math. **28** (1967), 355-360.
- [7] D. M. Pellegrino, Cotype and absolutely summing homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{L}_p$ -spaces, Studia Math. **157** (2003), 121-131.
- [8] C. Stegall, Banach spaces whose duals contain $l_1(\Gamma)$ with applications to the study of dual $L_1(\mu)$ spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), 463-477.

(Daniel M. Pellegrino) DEPTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA- CAIXA POSTAL 10044- UFCG- CAMPINA GRANDE-PB-BRAZIL

E-mail address: `dmp@dme.ufcg.edu.br`